IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

ROBBIE HILL; GWENNA HILL; JOSEPH SMITH; CATHERINE SMITH; KATHY L. HAMILTON; JERRY VAN WORMER; RANDY PALMER; JOYCE PALMER; LARRY KING; MARGARET KING; ADAM KOFOID; BRADLEY HOPPER; CRYSTAL HOPPER; COREY WARDEN; and JULIE WARDEN

PLAINTIFFS

v.

No. 4:12-cv-500-DPM

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY; CHESAPEAKE ENERGY; and XTO ENERGY

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Unopposed motion for joinder, № 77, granted. The Court agrees, applying Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19, that SEECO must be joined as a defendant. Whether the case should remain here, though, is unclear.

The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367. The RICO claim was marginal; and it's gone from the case. The trend in the precedent is for the trial court not to exercise jurisdiction in these circumstances, especially given that this case is still in early days and the state-law issues are unsettled. *E.g., Glorvigen v. Cirrus Design Corp.*, 581 F.3d 737, 749 (8th Cir. 2009).

Case 4:12-cv-00500-DPM Document 87 Filed 12/10/13 Page 2 of 2

The Court agrees with Southwestern that the basics for CAFA

jurisdiction exist: minimal diversity and amount in controversy. 28 U.S.C.

§ 1332(d)(2). The Court is concerned – and as yet uninformed by pleading,

briefing, or proof-about whether the Court must decline to exercise

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(4)(A) or § 1332(d)(4)(B) or should

decline under § 1332(d)(3). The parties should come prepared to address

jurisdiction first at the 19 December 2013 status conference.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

sprogarshall of.

United States District Judge

_10 December 2013

-2-